

# Compost Site Selection Batch Comparison Workbook - Track a Batch

Compost Site Selection | Track a Batch | Asset ID COMP-01-08-07

**Purpose:** This original printable batch comparison workbook helps home composters, gardeners, schools and community projects work with compost site selection while pursuing one defined outcome: create a history that another person can understand. Its method is to hold key conditions steady, record differences and qualify the result. Use the pages as a working record, not as a substitute for local accepted-material rules, current safety guidance and qualified horticultural or environmental advice where those are needed.

## Resource Map

The subject focus is to choose an accessible location that supports safe use, drainage and neighbour care. The six working areas below define the scope for this specific resource. Complete only the rows that matter to the present observation cycle, and date any observation that may change.

| # | Working area         | Current evidence                | Next check |
|---|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| 1 | Access Route         | Known / estimated / not checked | _____      |
| 2 | Surface And Drainage | Known / estimated / not checked | _____      |
| 3 | Sun And Weather      | Known / estimated / not checked | _____      |
| 4 | Water Access         | Known / estimated / not checked | _____      |
| 5 | Clearance            | Known / estimated / not checked | _____      |
| 6 | Local Restrictions   | Known / estimated / not checked | _____      |

## Continuity Starting Brief

- Mark: Which evidence separates water access from clearance in this case? Mark a checkpoint that another person can recognise.
- Preserve: How does local restrictions change the interpretation of access route? Preserve the context needed to interpret later work.
- Advance: What boundary on surface and drainage must be checked before relying on sun and weather? Advance one bounded segment toward the outcome.
- Log: Which observation links clearance to surface and drainage, and what remains uncertain? Log interruption, error or uncertainty when it occurs.
- Resume: What alternative explanation could account for both access route and water access? Resume from evidence rather than memory alone.
- Edition success means: produce a complete chain from start through curing or next review

## Batch Comparison Workbook

This format is designed to compare two methods without pretending unlike conditions are equal. For compost site selection, apply the method in a deliberate sequence: hold key conditions steady, record differences and qualify the result. The edition target is track a batch, so each entry should show what was noticed, what was tried and what changed.

| Working step                | What to record                                                                                 | Evidence or source       | Status         |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| Mark Water Access           | Which evidence separates water access from clearance in this case?                             | Checkpoint / date        | Open / checked |
| Preserve Clearance          | How does local restrictions change the interpretation of access route?                         | Context record / date    | Open / checked |
| Advance Local Restrictions  | What boundary on surface and drainage must be checked before relying on sun and weather?       | Completed segment / date | Open / checked |
| Log Access Route            | Which observation links clearance to surface and drainage, and what remains uncertain?         | Continuity note / date   | Open / checked |
| Resume Surface And Drainage | What alternative explanation could account for both access route and water access?             | Restart point / date     | Open / checked |
| Verify Sun And Weather      | What next check on sun and weather would make the record about local restrictions more useful? | Chain review / date      | Open / checked |

### Completion Standard

- Keep the work tied to compost site selection, not to a vague general intention.
- Apply this adjustment: label the batch and record additions, observations and actions
- Could another person reconstruct the sequence and continue without guessing?
- Expose this outcome risk if it appears: context is lost.
- Use original notes and explanations; quote only when necessary, lawful and properly attributed.
- Ask a experienced composter, horticulture professional or local authority contact to challenge one material assumption where appropriate.
- Close with one decision and one follow-up rather than an unranked list of ideas.

### Working Note

Edition COMP-01-08-07 pairs batch comparison workbook with the outcome 'Track a Batch'. That pairing is the boundary for this workbook: material that does not help that outcome belongs in a separate record.

## Comparison x Continuity Focused Practice Lab

This lab pairs a comparison method with a continuity outcome lens. Work through the six actions to turn the subject focus - choose an accessible location that supports safe use, drainage and neighbour care - into evidence for track a batch. Preserve any gap that prevents a supported conclusion.

| # | Action                             | Constraint                                                                                                                                                | Record                         |
|---|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1 | Align / Mark surface and drainage  | Which evidence separates water access from clearance in this case? Mark a checkpoint that another person can recognise.                                   | Cases / Checkpoint             |
| 2 | Compare / Preserve sun and weather | How does local restrictions change the interpretation of access route? Preserve the context needed to interpret later work.                               | Alignment / Context record     |
| 3 | Detect / Advance water access      | What boundary on surface and drainage must be checked before relying on sun and weather? Advance one bounded segment toward the outcome.                  | Difference / Completed segment |
| 4 | Explain / Log clearance            | Which observation links clearance to surface and drainage, and what remains uncertain? Log interruption, error or uncertainty when it occurs.             | Explanation / Continuity note  |
| 5 | Judge / Resume local restrictions  | What alternative explanation could account for both access route and water access? Resume from evidence rather than memory alone.                         | Judgement / Restart point      |
| 6 | Document / Verify access route     | What next check on sun and weather would make the record about local restrictions more useful? Verify that the chain remains complete and understandable. | Decision / Chain review        |

### Common Failure Modes

- For surface and drainage, watch for the cases answer different questions together with the checkpoint is vague.
- For sun and weather, watch for units or dates do not align together with context is lost.
- For water access, watch for a difference is hidden by an average together with the segment has no completion rule.
- For clearance, watch for the explanation lacks evidence together with an interruption goes unrecorded.
- For local restrictions, watch for fitness criteria change together with work resumes from a guess.

### Independent Review Question

Format question: Are the two cases comparable enough to support the stated choice? Outcome question: Could another person reconstruct the sequence and continue without guessing? Ask a experienced composter, horticulture professional or local authority contact where appropriate, then answer before the next observation cycle:

---

## Compost Observation Plan for Track a Batch

Use one defined observation cycle to test the outcome rather than attempting every possible task. This edition pairs comparison with continuity to pursue this objective: create a history that another person can understand. Preserve the starting condition and both evidence trails.

| Plan field               | Entry                                                          |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| Purpose                  | Track a Batch                                                  |
| Starting condition       | _____                                                          |
| Chosen working area      | _____                                                          |
| Continuity note          | label the batch and record additions, observations and actions |
| Evidence to capture      | _____                                                          |
| Stop or review condition | _____                                                          |

### Observation and Follow-up Cycle

| Stage        | Action                                                                                                                             | Evidence                       |
|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Start        | Which evidence separates water access from clearance in this case? Define the two cases and shared question.                       | Cases / Checkpoint             |
| First action | How does local restrictions change the interpretation of access route? Align units, dates and conditions.                          | Alignment / Context record     |
| Next check   | What boundary on surface and drainage must be checked before relying on sun and weather? Record meaningful differences.            | Difference / Completed segment |
| Follow-up    | Which observation links clearance to surface and drainage, and what remains uncertain? Investigate the likeliest explanation.      | Explanation / Continuity note  |
| Trend review | What alternative explanation could account for both access route and water access? Judge fitness for the intended use.             | Judgement / Restart point      |
| Close-out    | What next check on sun and weather would make the record about local restrictions more useful? Document the choice and limitation. | Decision / Chain review        |

### Comparison Case Log

| Comparis on Case | Condition      | Observation | Decision              |
|------------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| 1                | Same / changed | _____       | Keep / change / check |
| 2                | Same / changed | _____       | Keep / change / check |
| 3                | Same / changed | _____       | Keep / change / check |
| 4                | Same / changed | _____       | Keep / change / check |
| 5                | Same / changed | _____       | Keep / change / check |

## Controlled Case Comparison - Continuity Lens

This comparison tests compost site selection against track a batch through two independent questions. Format: Are the two cases comparable enough to support the stated choice? Outcome: Could another person reconstruct the sequence and continue without guessing? Record material setting differences instead of averaging them away.

| Evidence area        | Baseline | Alternative | Interpretation prompt                                                                          |
|----------------------|----------|-------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Sun And Weather      | _____    | _____       | Which evidence separates water access from clearance in this case?                             |
| Water Access         | _____    | _____       | How does local restrictions change the interpretation of access route?                         |
| Clearance            | _____    | _____       | What boundary on surface and drainage must be checked before relying on sun and weather?       |
| Local Restrictions   | _____    | _____       | Which observation links clearance to surface and drainage, and what remains uncertain?         |
| Access Route         | _____    | _____       | What alternative explanation could account for both access route and water access?             |
| Surface And Drainage | _____    | _____       | What next check on sun and weather would make the record about local restrictions more useful? |

### Format Decision Test

| Field                          | Record                                                                       |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Review question                | Are the two cases comparable enough to support the stated choice?            |
| Outcome question               | Could another person reconstruct the sequence and continue without guessing? |
| Acceptance lens                | produce a complete chain from start through curing or next review            |
| Conditions held steady         | _____                                                                        |
| Evidence supporting the choice | _____                                                                        |
| Evidence against the choice    | _____                                                                        |

### Comparison Confidence Check

- Checkpoint: what was actually seen, heard, measured or read?
- Format evidence: which record best demonstrates conditions aligned?
- Outcome evidence: which record best demonstrates contexts preserved?
- Challenge: could the segment has no completion rule explain the result?
- Subject boundary: what does this comparison leave unresolved about water access?

## Comparison x Continuity Scenario Cards

Use these paired scenarios to rehearse judgement for track a batch. Each card joins a comparison failure mode to an outcome-specific risk. State what remains open and which evidence named in the final column should be collected next.

| # | Situation                                                  | Review question                                                                                | Decision          |
|---|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 1 | Water Access: units or dates do not align.                 | Which evidence separates water access from clearance in this case?                             | Checkpoint        |
| 2 | Clearance: a difference is hidden by an average.           | How does local restrictions change the interpretation of access route?                         | Context record    |
| 3 | Local Restrictions: the explanation lacks evidence.        | What boundary on surface and drainage must be checked before relying on sun and weather?       | Completed segment |
| 4 | Access Route: fitness criteria change.                     | Which observation links clearance to surface and drainage, and what remains uncertain?         | Continuity note   |
| 5 | Surface And Drainage: the losing option is not documented. | What alternative explanation could account for both access route and water access?             | Restart point     |
| 6 | Sun And Weather: the cases answer different questions.     | What next check on sun and weather would make the record about local restrictions more useful? | Chain review      |

## Decision Record

| Field                  | Notes                                                                        |
|------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chosen format scenario | _____                                                                        |
| Outcome lens           | Could another person reconstruct the sequence and continue without guessing? |
| Evidence available     | _____                                                                        |
| Evidence missing       | _____                                                                        |
| Controlled next step   | _____                                                                        |
| Person / review date   | _____                                                                        |

## Eight Comparison and Continuity Evidence Tracker

Track track a batch across eight observation checks using the comparison method and the continuity outcome lens. Keep conditions visible and use this review question: Could another person reconstruct the sequence and continue without guessing?

| # | Focus                | Condition | Result | Next action |
|---|----------------------|-----------|--------|-------------|
| 1 | Water Access         | _____     | _____  | _____       |
| 2 | Clearance            | _____     | _____  | _____       |
| 3 | Local Restrictions   | _____     | _____  | _____       |
| 4 | Access Route         | _____     | _____  | _____       |
| 5 | Surface And Drainage | _____     | _____  | _____       |
| 6 | Sun And Weather      | _____     | _____  | _____       |
| 7 | Water Access         | _____     | _____  | _____       |
| 8 | Clearance            | _____     | _____  | _____       |

### Comparison Evidence Dashboard

| Measure                                                       | Baseline | Current | Evidence location |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|----------|---------|-------------------|
| Access Route: Cases Defined / Checkpoints Marked              | _____    | _____   | _____             |
| Surface And Drainage: Conditions Aligned / Contexts Preserved | _____    | _____   | _____             |
| Sun And Weather: Differences Recorded / Segments Completed    | _____    | _____   | _____             |
| Water Access: Explanations Tested / Interruptions Logged      | _____    | _____   | _____             |
| Clearance: Criteria Applied / Restarts Evidenced              | _____    | _____   | _____             |
| Local Restrictions: Choices Documented / Chains Verified      | _____    | _____   | _____             |

### Interpret the Trend

- I left a checkpoint that is meaningful without relying on memory.
- I preserved interruptions and unresolved gaps as part of the record.
- Keep the method only when the evidence for track a batch improves without hiding the segment has no completion rule.
- How does local restrictions change the interpretation of access route?
- Use a experienced composter, horticulture professional or local authority contact when the evidence crosses a safety, rights, health or authority boundary.

## Comparison x Continuity Next Step Plan

Finish by turning the paired format and outcome record into one owned action. For this batch comparison workbook, the intended result was track a batch; the outcome test is: Could another person reconstruct the sequence and continue without guessing? The close-out standard remains: produce a complete chain from start through curing or next review.

| Close-out field      | Record                             |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| Most useful evidence | _____                              |
| Important limitation | _____                              |
| Decision             | Keep / adapt / pause / seek review |
| Next action          | _____                              |
| Owner and date       | _____                              |
| Evidence location    | _____                              |

### Completion Review

- Subject check: Which evidence separates water access from clearance in this case?
- Subject check: How does local restrictions change the interpretation of access route?
- Format check: I documented why the unselected option was not preferred.
- Outcome check: I preserved interruptions and unresolved gaps as part of the record.
- I recorded weather, recent inputs and the time between observation and follow-up.
- I made no sanitation, pathogen-destruction or crop-safety claim from temperature alone.

### Originality and Responsible Use

Original independent resource. This educational observation and record-keeping aid was written specifically for asset COMP-01-08-07. It does not reproduce a book passage, manual, official form, commercial question bank, branded training plan, broadcast, photograph, logo, artwork, map, proprietary diagram or third-party worksheet. Any user-added quotation, image, question or source material remains the user's responsibility and may require attribution or permission.

Educational use only. Verify current facts, rules, competition requirements, local regulations, health and safety conditions with authoritative sources and a suitably qualified person where consequences matter. This workbook does not grant approval, certification, legal rights or permission to use third-party material.